$\begin{array}{ll} \omega-2\theta \text{ scans} & h=0 \rightarrow 6\\ \text{Absorption correction:} & none & l=-27 \rightarrow 27\\ 2865 \text{ measured reflections} & 2865 \text{ measured reflections} & 1386 \text{ observed reflections} & frequency: 120 \text{ min}\\ 1386 \text{ observed reflections} & intensity decay: none \\ [I > 2\sigma(I)] & \end{array}$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.044 wR = 0.053 S = 2.3 1386 reflections 223 parameters All H-atom parameters refined except H11B which was not refined w =  $1/\sigma^2(F)$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.23$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.6 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.4 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV, Tables 2.2B and 2.3.1)

 
 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{eq}$
Cl	0.6510 (6)	0.2527 (3)	0.5213 (2)	0.04 İ
C2	0.7487 (7)	0.3245 (3)	0.5662 (2)	0.043
C3	0.9105 (6)	0.2825 (3)	0.6118 (2)	0.040
C4	0.9737 (7)	0.1654 (3)	0.6179 (2)	0.047
C5	0.8763 (9)	0.0965 (4)	0.5695 (2)	0.057
C6	0.7225 (8)	0.1383 (3)	0.5228 (2)	0.051
C7	0.6773 (10)	0.3801 (5)	0.4144 (2)	0.059
C8	1.1001 (8)	0.1639 (4)	0.7289 (2)	0.058
C9	0.8259 (9)	0.1867 (6)	0.7510 (2)	0.075
C10	1.2456 (11)	0.0061 (5)	0.6587 (3)	0.079
C11	1.0771 (17)	-0.0869 (5)	0.6793 (3)	0.110
N1	1.0388 (6)	0.3703 (3)	0.6496 (1)	0.052
N2	1.1107 (7)	0.1187 (3)	0.6661 (2)	0.061
01	0.3417 (5)	0.2130 (3)	0.4285(1)	0.073
02	0.2740 (5)	0.3922 (2)	0.4863 (1)	0.063
O3	1.2762 (5)	0.3600 (3)	0.6618(1)	0.073
O4	0.9096 (6)	0.4537 (3)	0.6643 (1)	0.077
S	0.45238 (17)	0.30780 (9)	0.46148 (4)	0.049

#### Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1-C2	1.377 (5)	C7S	1.753 (6)
C1-C6	1.384 (6)	C8C9	1.491 (7)
C1—S	1.757 (4)	C8—N2	1.467 (6)
C2—C3	1.371 (5)	C10-C11	1.452 (10)
C3—C4	1.410 (5)	C10—N2	1.490 (7)
C3—N1	1.462 (5)	N103	1.228 (5)
C4—C5	1.412 (6)	N104	1.216 (5)
C4-N2	1.366 (5)	01—S	1.430 (4)
C5—C6	1.364 (6)	O2—S	1.442 (3)
C4-C3-N1	122.0 (3)	O3-N1-O4	122.8 (4)
C3-C4-C5	114.0 (4)	C8—N2—C4	123.4 (4)
C3C4N2	124.8 (4)	C10-N2-C4	119.8 (4)
O3-N1-C3	118.6 (4)	C8-N2-C10	116.1 (4)
O4-N1-C3	118.5 (3)		
C6-C1S01	13.2 (3)	C2-C1-S-02	-40.1 (3)
C2C1SC7	74.2 (4)		

Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*. Data reduction: *GX* (Mallinson & Muir, 1985). Program(s) used to solve structure: *MITHRIL* (Gilmore, 1984). Program(s) used to refine structure: *GX*. Molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1971; Mallinson & Muir, 1985). Software used to prepare material for publication: *GX*.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HA1134). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

### References

Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
Gilmore, C. J. (1984). J. Appl. Cryst. 17, 42-46.

Johnson, C. K. (1971). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-3794, revised. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

Mallinson, P. R. & Muir, K. W. (1985). J. Appl. Cryst. 18, 51–53.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 927-929

# KR-25003, a Potent Analgesic Capsaicinoid

NO-SANG PARK, IL YEONG PARK AND JONG CHEOL LEE

Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Taejon 305-606, Korea

YANG BAE KIM

College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

(Received 12 September 1994; accepted 28 November 1994)

### Abstract

The crystal structure of N-[3-(3,4-dimethylphenyl)propyl](4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acetamide,  $C_{20}H_{25}NO_3$ , was determined to a final R value of 0.047. The vanilloid, amide and dimethylphenyl groups of the compound are nearly perpendicular with respect to one another. The overall conformation in the crystalline state is somewhat different from that of other capsaicinoids. The molecules are stabilized by intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

### Comment

Capsaicin, the pungent principle component of red pepper, is well known to have analgesic activity in animal species (Suzuki & Iwai, 1984). It has a different mechanism of action from the usual analgesics such as narcotic or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Although the undesirable side effects of natural capsaicin prevent its direct utilization in medicinal preparations for humans, the strong analgesic effect of the capsaicinoids, natural as well as synthetic, has been studied in detail (Dray, 1992). We have synthesized and studied a number of structural analogues of capsaicin (Park, Ha, Choi, Kim, Lim & Lee, 1991; Park, Ha, Kim & Choi, 1991). The crystallographic study of the title compound, KR-25003, was undertaken in order to provide three-dimensional information concerning the functional groups that were believed to be important in its analgesic activity, and also to establish the reliable structure-activity relationship necessary for the understanding of the mode of action and/or the design of new members of the nonnarcotic analgesics. Details of the synthetic work have been published elsewhere (Park, Ha, Choi, Kim, Lim & Lee, 1991).



The molecular geometry of KR-25003 shows no significant differences from that expected. The capsaicinoid is composed of three major functional moieties, namely, a vanilloid, an amide and a hydrophobic side chain or equivalent. In the crystal, the vanilloid group of the title compound is nearly perpendicular to the plane of the amide [dihedral angle 105.5 (4)°], which in turn is also perpendicular to the dimethylphenyl group [dihedral angle 94.9  $(5)^{\circ}$  (Fig. 1). The overall conformation of the compound in the crystalline state, however, is not entirely similar to those of other capsaicinoids having similar structure and bioactivity, e.g. capsaicin (Park, Park, Choi & Kim, 1995a), KR-21042 (Park, Park, Choi & Kim, 1995b) and KR-25018 (Park, Park & Kim, 1995). This suggests that there may be conformational changes between the capsaicinoid and its receptor during their interaction in the biosystem.

The methoxy group of the vanilloid moiety is important for strong bioactivity (Dray, 1992; Park, Ha, Choi, Kim, Lim & Lee, 1991) and, in the present case, it is nearly coplanar with the phenyl ring [C7—O2—C5— C6 9.4 (3)°], presumably for effective overlap of the lone pair of electrons of the O atom with the  $\pi$ -electron system of the ring. The C5—O2 bond length is significantly shorter than that of O2—C7. Such tendencies have also been observed in structures having a methoxyaryl moiety (Kim, Kim & Park, 1993; Kim, Park & Lah, 1990; Kistenmacher & Marsh, 1972).

Molecules of KR-25003 are connected by intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the phenolic HO1 atom of the vanilloid moiety and the amide O3(x, y-1, z)atom of a neighbouring molecule. The O···O hydrogen bond length is 2.71 (1) Å, with an internal angle O1— HO1···O3 of 166 (4)°.



Fig. 1. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) drawing of KR-25003 with the atomic numbering scheme. The displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.

### Experimental

Colourless prismatic crystals were grown by slow evaporation from a mixture of ethyl acetate and *n*-hexane at room temperature.

Crystal data C20H25NO3 Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation  $M_r = 327.42$  $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å Triclinic Cell parameters from 25  $P\overline{1}$ reflections a = 7.964(1) Å  $\theta = 12.8 - 33.2^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.571 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 8.859(1) Å c = 13.229(2) Å T = 293 K $\alpha = 86.34(1)^{\circ}$ Prism  $\beta = 73.71 (1)^{\circ}$  $0.34 \times 0.28 \times 0.21$  mm  $\gamma = 88.25 (1)^{\circ}$ Colourless  $V = 894.0(2) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.216 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m = 1.21 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m$  measured by flotation in KI solution Data collection Enraf-Nonius CAD-4  $R_{\rm int} = 0.016$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 70.0^{\circ}$ diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans  $h = 0 \rightarrow 9$ Absorption correction:  $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$  $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$ none 3522 measured reflections 3 standard reflections 3371 independent reflections frequency: 60 min 3014 observed reflections intensity decay: 0.41%  $[F > 3\sigma(F)]$ 

Refinement

Refinement on F	Unit weights applied
R = 0.047	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.107$
wR = 0.048	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.198 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 0.537	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.253 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
3014 reflections	Atomic scattering fac-
317 parameters	tors from SHELX76
All H-atom parameters	(Sheldrick, 1976)
refined	

# Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(\dot{A}^2)$

# $U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{eq}$
01	0.8274 (2)	0.2655 (2)	0.6225 (1)	0.050(1)
02	1.0589 (2)	0.4393 (2)	0.6625(1)	0.049 (1)
03	0.6994 (2)	1.1177 (2)	0.4878 (1)	0.061 (1)
N1	0.8109 (3)	0.9075 (2)	0.4054 (1)	0.047 (1)
Cl	0.7555 (3)	0.7321 (2)	0.6019 (2)	0.036(1)
C2	0.6329 (3)	0.6386 (2)	0.5826 (2)	0.043 (1)
C3	0.6554 (3)	0.4820 (2)	0.5893 (2)	0.042(1)
C4	0.7985 (3)	0.4183 (2)	0.6153 (1)	0.034 (1)
C5	0.9214 (3)	0.5115 (2)	0.6364 (2)	0.033 (1)
C6	0.8995 (3)	0.6673 (2)	0.6287 (2)	0.035(1)
C7	1.1712 (4)	0.5325 (3)	0.6986 (3)	0.073 (1)
C8	0.7294 (4)	0.9018 (2)	0.5975 (2)	0.049 (1)
C9	0.7460 (3)	0.9825 (2)	0.4913 (2)	0.041 (1)
C10	0.8285 (4)	0.9729 (3)	0.2992 (2)	0.058 (1)
C11	0.7364 (4)	0.8787 (3)	0.2392 (2)	0.050(1)
C12	0.7338 (5)	0.9569 (3)	0.1339 (2)	0.071 (1)
C13	0.6511 (3)	0.8642 (3)	0.0689 (2)	0.054 (1)
C14	0.4758 (4)	0.8269 (3)	0.1042 (2)	0.054 (1)
C15	0.3944 (3)	0.7448 (3)	0.0451 (2)	0.054 (1)
C16	0.4929 (4)	0.6990 (3)	-0.0532 (2)	0.057 (1)
C17	0.6674 (4)	0.7357 (3)	-0.0881 (2)	0.064 (1)
C18	0.7469 (4)	0.8167 (3)	-0.0280 (2)	0.063 (1)
C19	0.2038 (4)	0.7075 (5)	0.0884 (3)	0.082 (1)
C20	0.4126 (6)	0.6099 (4)	-0.1219 (3)	0.085 (1)

### Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

01C4	1.368 (2)	N1C9	1.322 (3)
02C5	1.368 (2)	N1C10	1.456 (3)
02—C7	1.430 (3)	C1C8	1.511 (3)
03—C9	1.245 (2)	C8C9	1.508 (3)
C5—O2—C7	116.0 (2)	O3—C9—N1	122.4 (2)
C9—N1—C10	123.4 (2)	O3—C9—C8	118.8 (2)
C1—C8—C9	118.1 (2)	N1—C9—C8	118.8 (2)

Intensity data were collected with a scan width of  $\Delta \omega = (1.2)$ +  $0.15\tan\theta$ )° (estimated from  $\omega$ - $\theta$  plots). The counter aperture was also adjusted as a function of  $\theta$ . The horizontal aperture width ranged from 2.0 to 3.1 mm, while the vertical one was set at 4 mm. All reflections were corrected for the usual Lp effects and decay compensation was applied.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Data reduction: MolEN (Fair, 1990). Program(s) used to solve structure: MULTAN84 (Main, Germain & Woolfson, 1984). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: MolEN (Fair, 1990).

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Korea. The authors are thankful to Dr Jae Yang Kong, KRICT, Korea, for the measurement and interpretation of the biological activities of the compounds.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry, including H-atom geometry, have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AS1152). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

### References

Dray, A. (1992). Life Sci. 51, 1759-1765.

- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kim, Y. B., Kim, J. A. & Park, I. Y. (1993). Arch. Pharm. Res. 16, 134-139.
- Kim, Y. B., Park, I. Y. & Lah, W. R. (1990). Arch. Pharm. Res. 13, 166-173.
- Kistenmacher, T. J. & Marsh, R. E. (1972). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 1340-1345.
- Main, P., Germain, G. & Woolfson, M. M. (1984). MULTAN84. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univ. of York, England.
- Park, N.-S., Ha, D.-C., Choi, J.-K., Kim, H.-S., Lim, H.-J. & Lee, B.-Y. (1991). Korean J. Med. Chem. 1, 36-43.
- Park, N.-S., Ha, D.-C., Kim, H.-S. & Choi, J.-K. (1991). Korean J. Med. Chem. 1, 2-7.
- Park, N.-S., Park, I. Y., Choi, J. & Kim, Y. B. (1995a). In preparation.
- Park, N.-S., Park, I. Y., Choi, J.-K. & Kim, Y. B. (1995b). In preparation.
- Park, N.-S., Park, I. Y. & Kim, Y. B. (1995). In preparation.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Suzuki, T. & Iwai, K. (1984). The Alkaloids, Vol. XXIII, edited by A. Brossi, pp. 227-299. Orlando: Academic Press.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 929-933

# Stereochemistry of Asymmetric $\beta$ -Lactam Formation Involving Achiral Glyoxylamide Derivatives

DAISUKE HASHIZUME, HIDENORI KOGO, AKIKO SEKINE AND **Ү** UЛ OHASHI

Department of Chemistry, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152, Japan

HISAKAZU MIYAMOTO AND FUMIO TODA

Department of Applied Chemistry, Ehime University, Matsuyama, Ehime 790, Japan

(Received 2 June 1994; accepted 1 November 1994)

#### Abstract

The crystals of three glyoxylamide compounds, N,Ndiisopropyl(o-methylphenyl)glyoxylamide,  $C_{15}H_{21}NO_2$ , N,N-diisopropyl(p-methylphenyl)glyoxylamide, C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>-

929